

The Telford Langley School ENSURING EXCELLENCE

Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy

Approved: Autumn 2024

Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy

Policy Name:	Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy
Policy Owner:	Mr Paul Hewitt, Deputy Headteacher
Last Reviewed:	Autumn 2024
Policy Approved \ Ratified by:	School Standards Committee
Term Policy Approved:	Autumn 2024
Next Review Due:	Autumn 2025
Document Version:	6.0

Contents

1. What does this policy affect?3
2. Purpose of the Policy
3. What are non-examination assessments?
4. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities
5. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments
6. Links to other School Policies and References

1. What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessments (where applicable) and coursework.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as NEA)

The term coursework is a generic one. It includes the work required in Project qualifications and internally assessed work in other qualifications covered by these *Instructions*.

These instructions are for use in AQA Applied General qualifications, OCR Cambridge Nationals, CCEA GCE unitised AS and A-level qualifications, ELC and Project qualifications. They may also apply to other awarding body-specific Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 qualifications. Centres should refer to awarding body instructions. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting coursework,** Introduction, Foreword)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as ICC)

2. Purpose of the Policy

This policy confirms the JCQ requirement that Telford Langley School has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework.

Awarding bodies require centres to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

A JCQ Centre Inspector will ask the examinations officer to confirm that a policy is in place. Guidance provided in this document will help the head of centre to ensure that the centre's policy is fit for purpose. (NEA 1)

3. What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

[NEA 1]

4. What is coursework?

 Coursework components assess candidates' skills, knowledge and understanding that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Coursework will take many different forms. (ICC 1)

5. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include (GCSE) non-examination assessments, controlled assessment (where relevant) and coursework.

The basic principles

Head of Centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA and ICC
- Ensures that the centre's Non-Examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking.

It is also the responsibility of the head of centre to ensure that their centre:

- a) retains a workforce of an appropriate size and competence, including sufficient managerial and other resource, to undertake the delivery of the qualification as required by an awarding body. This includes taking reasonable steps to ensure occupational competence where this is required for the assessment of specific qualifications;
- b) provides fully qualified teachers to mark non-examination assessments, and/or fully qualified assessors for the verification of centre-assessed components. The head of centre must also ensure teaching staff do not use artificial intelligence (AI) as the sole means of marking candidates' work.

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which complies with NEA, ICC and awarding body subject-specific instructions.
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u>, <u>ICC</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA and ICC</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
 with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
 including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
 on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether
 the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code
 or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries for the
 relevant exam series.

Exams Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ <u>NEA and ICC</u> documents to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body or designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensure the correct task is issued to students

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates</u> <u>Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates documents
- · Ensures candidates:
 - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - receive guidance on setting out references
 - are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

Advice and feedback

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Make students aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment. The school makes students and parents aware of the centre's approach

- to plagiarism and the consequences of malpractice to make them aware of the risks and issues and ensure they support the centre's approach.
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of
 Qualifications (http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the
 awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the
 awarding bodies and the regulator. By referencing this document and the centre's
 malpractice policy, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use
 of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately
 in a qualification assessment
- Students must acknowledge use of AI and show clearly how they have used it. This allows teachers and assessors to review how AI has been used and whether that use was appropriate in the context of the particular assessment. Where AI tools have been used as a source of information, a student's acknowledgement must show the name of the AI source used and should show the date the content was generated. For example: ChatGPT 3.5 (https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/), 25/01/2023. The student must, retain a copy of the question(s) and computer-generated content for reference and authentication purposes, in a noneditable format (such as a screenshot) and provide a brief explanation of how it has been used.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA or ICC and informs the exams officer and senior leadership team.
 *AI misuse constitutes malpractice as defined in the JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/). The malpractice sanctions available for the offences of 'making a false declaration of authenticity' and 'plagiarism' include disqualification and debarment from taking qualifications for a number of years. Students' marks may also be affected if they have relied on AI to complete an assessment and, as noted above, the attainment that they have demonstrated in relation to the requirements of the qualification does not accurately reflect their own work.
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work
 has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded
 by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> or <u>ICC</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements.

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up-to-date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up and implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams Officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to the JCQ publication *Instructions* for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be dispatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Dispatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre

Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who
has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their
family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close
friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)

 Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject head/lead

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Does not use artificial intelligence as the sole means of marking candidates' work
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale indicated in the centre's internal
 appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be
 submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to
 the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
 - o Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

 Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

Curriculum and Progress Officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
 - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centreassessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
 - o submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
 - o where relevant, liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange dispatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
 a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams
 officer to the internal deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams Officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
 a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject
 teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams Officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation – the process

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks any moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts any moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCo/Assistant SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ document <u>Access Arrangements</u> and Reasonable Adjustments
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ document <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale

- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body. (For coursework, AQA and OCR centres must not submit Form 15 JCQ/LCW. Applications must be submitted online using AQA Centre Services or OCR Interchange as appropriate)

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
 authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal
 procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The
 only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials
 has been breached, the breach must be reported to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ document <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and</u> Assessments: Policies and Procedures
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment or coursework are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and</u> candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments and coursework
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and (where applicable) <u>Information for candidates coursework assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u> -Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams Officer

- Signposts the JCQ document <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ document Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal.

Subject head/lead

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components as detailed in the JCQ document <u>Post Results</u> <u>Services (Information and guidance to centres...)</u>
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to centre-assessed work are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Returns the online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams Officer

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings.

Private candidates

Subject head/lead

- Confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components/units of non-examination assessment/coursework (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification.

5. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Reference to non-examination assessment is intended to include GCSE specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
	 the current JCQ documents Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework. the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments 	
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: submit work which is not their own make available their work to other candidates through any medium	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR

T		T
	allow other candidates to have access to their	
	own independently sourced materialassist other candidates to produce work	
	 use books, the internet, AI or other sources 	
	without acknowledgement or attribution	
	 submit work that has been word processed by 	
	a third party without acknowledgement	
	 include inappropriate, offensive or obscene 	
	material	
	Records confirm that candidates have been made	
	aware of the JCQ documents Information for	
	candidates - non-examination assessments, (where	
	applicable) Information for candidates – coursework	
	assessments and Information for candidates – social media - www.jcg.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-	
	<u>candidates-documents</u> and understand they must not	
	post their work on social media	
Task setting	Task setting	Task setting
Awarding body set task:	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
IT failure/corruption of	task noted prior to start of course	
task details where set	IT systems checked prior to key date	
task details accessed	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
from the awarding body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
teacher fails to meet the	training information, practice materials etc.	, , ,
assessment criteria as	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand	
detailed in the	the task setting arrangements as defined in the	
specification	awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
understand the marking criteria and what they	criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of	
need to do to gain credit	candidates is produced for candidates	
neca to ao to gam crean	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking	
	criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the	
	marking criteria	
Subject teacher long	See centre's contingency plan – (Teaching staff	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
term absence during the	extended absence)	
task setting stage Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
specification given to	between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications	
candidates undertaking	and requirements/tasks for new specifications	
new specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
	remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
not issued to candidates	detailed in the specification noted prior to start of	
on time	course Course information issued to candidates contains	
	details when set task will be issued and needs to be	
	completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for	
	planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given	Ensures course planning and information taken from	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
to candidates	the awarding body's specification confirms the correct	
	task will be issued to candidates	

	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
	remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's Contingency Plan – (Teaching staff extended absence)	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded	
concern about safeguarding,	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of	
confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such	candidates for the monitoring sample	
as a presentation that may be recorded		
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	PHE/JEV/LGR
clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms	PHE/JEV/LGR
inadequate for	and IT facilities for the start of the course	
candidates to take tasks	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities	
under appropriate	insufficient for number of candidates	
supervision	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the	PHE/JEV/LGR
of candidates to enable	current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-	
work to be	examination assessments and any other specific	
authenticated	instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
	specification in relation to the supervision of	
	candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-	
	examination assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
of malpractice prior to	documents Instructions for conducting non-	, 222,023, 2013
submitting their work	examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) and	
for assessment	(where applicable) Instructions for conducting	
	coursework (6. Malpractice in coursework) are	
	followed. An internal investigation and where	
	appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A	PHE/LEV/EBL/JEV/LGR
were not put in place for	guide to the special consideration process (section 2),	
an assessment where a	to determine the process to be followed to apply for	
candidate is approved	special consideration for the candidate	
for arrangements		
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
appropriate advice and	teachers to record all information provided to	
feedback not given by	candidates before work begins as part of the centre's	
subject teacher prior to	quality assurance procedures Regular manitoring of subject taggeter completed	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice	
	given to candidates prior to starting on their work as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	prior to starting on their work	

Canadidaka alaka	Francisco e contro mid- con- in in 1	DUE/EDI/ESY// CS
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as	
	appropriate to the subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given	
	during the task-taking stage	
A third party claims that	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
assistance was given to candidates by the	where relevant	
subject teacher over and	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all	
above that allowed in	assistance given	
the regulations and	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is	
specification	submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	PHE/JEV/LGR
reference information from published source	information before work is submitted for formal assessment	
nom published source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments and (where applicable) Information for	
	candidates – coursework assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	
Candidate does not set	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and	PHE/JEV/LGR
out references as	re-draft the set out of references before work is	
required	submitted for formal assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	
	planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure	
	continued completion	
Candidate joins the	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the	PHE/JEV/LGR
course late after	candidate to catch up	
formally supervised task taking has started		
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what	PHE/JEV/LGR
another centre during	can be done depending on the stage at which the move	, ,
the course	takes place	
An excluded pupil wants	The awarding body specification is checked to	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
to complete his/her non-examination	determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education. If so,	
assessment(s)	arrangements for supervision, authentication and	
	marking are made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
notes and resources	collected in and kept secure between formally	
between formally	supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are	
superviseu sessiulis	T WALLE TO POUTLY SOURS OF PUSPEL DV COMMONIES TOPSPORP	
•	collected in and kept secure between formally	
supervised sessions	I VULLETE MEMORY STICKS ARE LISEA BY CANAIDATES THESE ARE	ĺ

	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access	
	for candidates is restricted between formally	
	supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research,	PHE/JEV/LGR
acknowledge sources on	planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the	, ,
work that is submitted	sources used, including books, websites and	
for assessment	audio/visual resources	
101 035533116116	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the	
	work of the candidate should be marked where	
	candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources	
	appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's	
	records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a	
	mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the	
Manual and Alman Burks	candidate	
Word and time limits		B115 (15) (1) OB
A candidate is penalised	Records confirm the awarding body specification has	PHE/JEV/LGR
by the awarding body	been checked to determine if word or time limits are	
for exceeding word or	mandatory	
time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are	
	discouraged from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to	
	them on word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group	work	
Candidates have worked	Records confirm the awarding body specification has	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
in groups where the	been checked to determine if group work is permitted	
awarding body	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue	
specification states this	remains unresolved	
is not permitted		
Authentication procedure	es	
A teacher has doubts	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
about the authenticity	the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment	
of the work submitted	material and candidates' work	
by a candidate for	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with	
internal assessment	the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	
	non-examination assessments and (where applicable)	
Candidate plagiarises	Information for candidates – coursework assessments	
other material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what	
	they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-	
	examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ	
	document Information for candidates: non-	
	I PXOMINOLION OCCESSMENTS	
	examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the	
Candidate does not sign	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	PHF/IFV/I GR
Candidate does not sign	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates:	PHE/JEV/LGR
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable)	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates — coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments and (where applicable)	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting	PHE/JEV/LGR
their authentication statement/declaration	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	
their authentication statement/declaration	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject	PHE/JEV/LGR PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
their authentication statement/declaration	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	

Presentation of work Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for	marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	PHE/JEV/LGR
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	PHE/JEV/LGR
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	PHE/JEV/LGR
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:	PHE/RMO/JEV/LGR
Task marking – externally	assessed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	PHE/JEV/LGR
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason Task marking – internally	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	PHE/JEV/LGR
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is	PHE/JEV/LGR
little or no work	recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria	THESE VILON

		T
	and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work	
	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of	
	zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A	PHE/JEV/LGR
finish their work for	guide to the special consideration process (section 5),	
unforeseen reason	to determine eligibility and the process to be followed	
	for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents	PHE/JEV/LGR
is lost or damaged	Instructions for conducting non-examination	
Į .	assessments (8) and (where applicable) Instructions for	
	conducting coursework (16), to determine eligibility	
	and the process to be followed for lost or damaged	
	work	
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
discovered	documents Instructions for conducting non-	1112/202/32 4/2011
discovered	examination assessments (9. Malpractice) and (where	
	applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (6.	
	Malpractice in coursework) are followed	
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current	
	JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and	
	Procedures are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also	
A	followed	DUE IED: IVEVII: 22
A teacher assesses the	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
work of a candidate with	the awarding body before the published deadline for	
whom they have a close	entries for each examination series	
personal relationship	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for	
e.g. members of their	moderation whether part of the sample requested or	
family (which includes	not	
step-family, foster		
family and similar close		
relationships) or close		
friends and their		
immediate family (e.g.		
son/daughter)		
An extension to the	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an	PHE/JEV/LGR
deadline for submission	extension can be granted	
of marks is required for	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document A	
a legitimate reason	guide to the special consideration process (5), to	
	determine eligibility and the process to be followed for	
	an extension	
After submission of	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
marks, it is discovered	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A	
that the wrong task was	guide to the special consideration process (section 2),	
given to candidates	to determine eligibility and the process to be followed	
5	to apply for special consideration for candidates	
	The second contract and the se	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been	PHE/JEV/LGR
appeal/request a review	awarded for their work prior to the marks being	, ,
of the marks awarded	submitted to the awarding body	
for their work by their	Records confirm candidates have been informed of	
teacher	their marks	
ledulei		
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject	
	to change through the awarding body's moderation	
	process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the	
	timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals	

r		T
	procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	PHE/JEV/LGR
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	PHE/EBL/JEV/LGR
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's Contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	PHE/JEV/EBL

6. Links to other School Policies and References

This policy links to the following policies and procedures:

- ➤ The Telford Langley School and Exam Contingency Plan
- > Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications
- The Telford Langley School Internal appeals policy
- ➤ The Telford Langley School Exams Malpractice Policy

JCQ publications

- Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments
- Information for candidates non-examination assessments
- Information for candidates Social Media
- Instructions for conducting examinations
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- ➤ Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
- Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work
- Post-Results Services
- Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres)
- > Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work
- A guide to the special consideration process

The JCQ guidance on referencing can be found in the following:

- Plagiarism in Assessments (https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/plagiarism-in-assessments---guidance-for-teachersassessors/)
- Instructions for conducting coursework (https://www.jcq.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Coursework_ICC_22-23_FINAL.pdf)
- The Information for Candidates documents (https://www.jcq.org.uk/examsoffice/information-for-candidates-documents)